

Application No. 10/081,441

Amendment Date July 6, 2004; Reply to Office action of May 3, 2004

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 06 2004

Amendments to the Claims

OFFICIAL

The listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. Claim 1 (currently amended): A method in decision system applications for collecting global or population characteristics for decision tree regulation to achieve robust decisions in spite of the application dynamics and/or errors in training data comprises the following steps:
 - (a) Input a decision tree;
 - (b) Input a set of training samples;
 - (c) Use the training samples to determine a decision characteristic for at least one decision tree node, said decision characteristic selected from the group consisting of global characteristics and population characteristics.

Claim 2 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 wherein the population decision characteristics provides a prevalence independent characterization of the at least one decision tree node that compensates for unequal class prevalence in the training samples.

Claim 3 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 wherein the global characteristics and population characteristics discriminates between noise and consistent application characteristics decision-characteristic compensates for errors in the training data.

Claim 4 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the global characteristics include global counts.

Application No. 10/081,441

Amendment Date July 6, 2004; Reply to Office action of May 3, 2004

Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the global characteristics include global population statistic.

Claim 6 (original): The method of claim 1 wherein the population characteristics include local population statistic.

Claim 7 (currently amended): A method in decision system applications for classification regulation by information integration to achieve robust decisions in spite of the application dynamics and/or errors in training data comprises the following steps:

- (a) Input a decision tree;
- (b) Input a plurality of decision characteristics selected from the group consisting of global characteristics and population characteristics from at least one terminal node of the decision tree;
- (c) Determine the confidence value for each of the plurality of said decision characteristics
- (d) Determine an integrated confidence value for each class of said at least one terminal node.

Claim 8 (original): For a crisp tree application, the method of claim 7 further assigns the class with the maximum integrated confidence value as the decision for the terminal node.

Claim 9 (original): For a smooth tree application the method of claim 7 further uses the integrated confidence value as the likelihood value.

Claim 10 (original): The method of claim 7 wherein the global characteristics and population characteristics are selected from the group consisting of global counts, local counts, global population statistic, and local population statistic.

Application No. 10/081,441

Amendment Date July 6, 2004; Reply to Office action of May 3, 2004

Claim 11 (original): The method of claim 7 wherein the confidence value is selected from the set consisting of local count confidence, local population confidence, global count confidence and global population confidence.

Claim 12 (original): The method of claim 7 wherein the integrated confidence value is a weighted combination of a plurality of confidence values.

Claim 13 (original): The method of claim 7 wherein the global characteristics have a global context coverage that is adjusted using different layer depths.

Claim 14 (original): The method of claim 7 wherein the global characteristics have a global context coverage that is adjusted on a minimum number of training samples.

Claim 15 (currently amended): A method in decision system applications for decision tree pruning regulation by information integration to achieve robust decisions in spite of the application dynamics and/or errors in training data comprises the following steps:

- (a) Input a decision tree;
- (b) Input a set of training samples;
- (c) Generate a regulated measure selected from the group consisting of integrated confidence values and reliability measures;
- (d) For a non-terminal node of the tree having two descending terminal nodes, determine the accuracy values using the regulated measure under two separate nodes or combined node conditions;
- (e) If combined node accuracy value is greater than the two separate node accuracy value, prune the terminal nodes by combining the two terminal nodes and converting the associated non-terminal nodes into one terminal node.

Claim 16 (original): The method of claim 15 wherein the reliability measures include a local population reliability measure.

Application No. 10/081,441

Amendment Date July 6, 2004; Reply to Office action of May 3, 2004

Claim 17 (original): The method of claim 15 wherein the reliability measures include a count reliability measure.

Claim 18 (original): The method of claim 15 wherein the reliability measures include a population reliability measure.

Claim 19 (original): The method of claim 15 wherein the reliability measures include a combined reliability measure.

Claim 20 (original): The method of claim 15 wherein the reliability measures include a global population reliability measure.

Claim 21 (canceled).

Claim 22 (original): The method of claim 15 wherein the reliability measure for the maximum class is integrated with the classification accuracy as the criteria for tree pruning.

Claim 23 (currently amended): A method in decision system applications for decision tree generation regulation by information integration to achieve robust decisions in spite of the application dynamics and/or errors in training data comprises the following steps:

- (a) Input a set of training samples;
- (b) For at least one node, generate a set of candidate thresholds;
- (c) Partition data at a candidate threshold;
- (d) Calculate an evaluation function selected from the set consisting of integrated confidence value and reliability measures;
- (e) Repeat steps (c) and (d) for a plurality of partitions and Sselect the partition for the node as the one that maximizes the evaluation function.